

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: **Kaztemirtrans, JSC**

Global Credit Research - 28 May 2014

Astana, Kazakhstan

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Positive
Corporate Family Rating	Ba1
Parent: National Company Kazakhstan	
Temir Zholy JSC	
Outlook	Positive
Issuer Rating	Baa3
Bkd Senior Unsecured	(P)Baa3

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Artem Frolov/Moscow	7.495.228.6060
Sergei Grishunin/Moscow	
Jean-Michel Carayon/Paris	33.1.53.30.10.20

Key Indicators

[1]Kaztemirtrans, JSC

	31/12/2013	31/12/2012	31/12/2011	31/12/2010	31/12/2009
Revenue (\$ million)	\$706.8	\$552.0	\$413.7	\$338.6	\$283.2
Operating Margin	22.5%	37.9%	38.2%	41.8%	34.4%
FFO / Debt	6.6%	8.3%	12.4%	11.4%	17.8%
EBITA / Avg. Assets	5.9%	8.6%	9.3%	10.3%	10.0%
Debt / EBITDA	7.7x	7.3x	5.3x	5.9x	4.1x
EBIT / Interest Expense	1.2x	1.9x	2.2x	3.0x	2.6x

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying [User's Guide](#).

Opinion

Rating Drivers

- Strategic importance for the state and strong government support resulting from status as an integral part of Kazakhstan Temir Zholy
- Ongoing transformation of Kaztemirtrans into national freight carrier
- Market leadership in freight rail transportation in Kazakhstan

- Pressure on credit metrics due to large amount of debt and business model transformation
- Challenges associated with operating in a developing market

Corporate Profile

Headquartered in Astana, JSC Kaztemirtrans (KTT) is a 100% owned subsidiary of JSC National Company Kazakhstan Temir Zholy (KTZ), which is, in turn, the 100% state-controlled vertically integrated rail group operating the national rail network of the Republic of Kazakhstan. KTZ's sole shareholder is the Kazakh government, represented by JSC National Welfare Fund Samruk-Kazyna (Samruk-Kazyna).

KTT is the owner and operator of the largest freight railcar fleet in Kazakhstan. KTZ intends to complete the transfer of the national freight carrier function to KTT in 2014. As part of the people's IPO initiative, the state, via KTZ, may privatise a 5%-10% stake in KTT in 2015. In the longer term, the state may sell an additional stake in KTT to a strategic investor, retaining a controlling stake in the company.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

In determining KTT's corporate family rating (CFR), we apply our government-related issuer (GRI) rating methodology. According to this methodology, KTT's Ba1 CFR reflects the following combination of inputs: (1) a Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) of b1; (2) the Baa2 local currency rating of the government of the Republic of Kazakhstan; (3) the high default dependence between KTT and the government; and (4) the strong probability of state support for the company in the event of financial distress.

KTT's BCA of b1 recognises the company's strength derived from being a part of Kazakhstan's national integrated railway business, KTZ (BCA of ba2). At the same time, and similar to that of KTZ, KTT's BCA reflects (1) its increased leverage and continuing negative free cash flow generation as a result of large debt-financed capex needs associated with renewal and expansion of its railcar fleet; (2) exposure to foreign-currency risk, as more than 85% of KTT's debt is denominated in foreign currency; and (3) KTT's overall exposure to an emerging market operating environment with a less developed regulatory, political and legal framework.

KTT's BCA is underpinned by (1) the company's full integration into KTZ's business and its position as the leading railcar operator in the domestic market; and (2) the fact that the company's debt is managed and largely guaranteed by KTZ.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

Our assessment of there being high default dependence between KTT and the Kazakhstan government mirrors our assumption for KTZ, based on our view that there is a high correlation between the financial profiles of the government and KTZ, including KTT, as (1) KTT's business is focused on the Republic of Kazakhstan; and (2) the company's credit profile is dependent on the operating framework, including tariff regulation, set by the government.

KTT's rating incorporates our assumption that the company would benefit from strong government support in the event of need, which takes into account (1) the fact that KTT is the owner of virtually all freight railcars in the KTZ group and therefore is essential for the group's business; and (2) our assessment of a high probability of state support available for KTZ. At the same time, we note that, because it is part of KTZ, KTT's access to the state support is not direct. This indirectness of the state support has resulted in a lower probability of support being incorporated into KTT's Ba1 CFR compared with that incorporated into KTZ's Baa3 issuer rating.

Key Considerations for the BCA

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE AND MARKET LEADERSHIP WILL REMAIN IN PLACE

Railway is the key freight transportation industry in Kazakhstan, a country that is characterised by substantial size, a landlocked position and widespread natural resources. In 2013, railway transportation represented 47% of total freight transportation turnover in Kazakhstan, including pipelines.

KTZ, a parent company of KTT, is the monopoly provider of rail infrastructure services in Kazakhstan and holds the leading position in the country's railway market in terms of railcar fleet, while KTT is the owner of virtually all freight railcars in the KTZ group. With a fleet of 65,606 freight railcars as of year-end 2013, representing 51% of the Kazakhstan total, KTT's market position is likely to remain unrivalled for the foreseeable future.

As independent operators do not have access to the national railway network, private participation in the Kazakhstan railway transportation industry is currently limited to ownership, leasing and operation of railcars and containers. Private operators have a total market share of 49% by railcar fleet. Many private operators are part of industrial groups and largely service their cargo turnover.

SHIFT IN BUSINESS MODEL IS LIKELY TO INCREASE EBITDA

Until 2013 KTT's main business was leasing out its railcars to KTZ (92% of its revenue for 2011 and 76% for 2012). As part of KTZ's corporate restructuring process, KTT is to fully take over the freight transportation function from KTZ, including locomotive traction services. In 2013, the company increased the portion of its railcar fleet that is under its own operation. The share of KTT's revenues from the operator business represents a major 67% portion of total revenue for 2013.

The shift in business model should be beneficial for KTT's financial profile, because the freight rail carrier business will generate higher revenue and EBITDA than its previous business of leasing railcars. However, the carrier business is generally less marginal than operating leasing because of higher costs, as reflected in KTT's EBITDA margin decline to 52% as of year-end 2013 from 72% a year earlier.

LARGE AMOUNT OF DEBT AND BUSINESS MODEL TRANSFORMATION EXERT PRESSURE ON CREDIT METRICS

KTT's debt profile has been largely developed as part of KTZ's debt strategy, with a large portion of the company's borrowings procured for the whole KTZ group. In 2006, 2010 and 2012, KTT's wholly owned subsidiary KTZ Finance issued Eurobonds for the KTZ group totalling \$2.6 billion (of which \$450 million was repaid in May 2011). The bonds are guaranteed by KTT, KTZ and its subsidiary JSC Lokomotiv. This debt, sitting at the level of KTT, represents the major portion of KTZ's consolidated debt as of year-end 2013, and was partially channelled by KTT as loans to KTZ and JSC Locomotive. In April 2014, KTZ substituted KTZ Finance as a borrower under the \$1.1 billion Eurobond placed in 2012.

KTT's BCA incorporates normalised leverage, which factors in KTT's loans to KTZ and JSC Lokomotiv. As of year-end 2013, KTT's normalised debt/EBITDA increased to 6.4x from 6.0x as of year-end 2012, while normalised EBIT interest coverage declined to 1.2x from 2.1x. The weakening of financial metrics was a result of KTT's EBITDA decline, mainly because of increased empty run costs resulting from KTT's shift to the operator business model. We note that we do not include in KTT's debt its guarantees provided for other KTZ group companies if the underlying obligations are also guaranteed by KTZ, assuming low probability of KTT's guarantees being called as long as debt is guaranteed by KTZ which is a stronger credit. Nevertheless, KTT's guarantees represent an additional credit negative factor which contributes to KTT's lower BCA and rating compared with KTZ.

KTT's normalised financial metrics should improve after the company starts providing locomotive traction services, as anticipated under the KTZ group reorganisation plan. However, the timing of improvement is uncertain. We note that KTT benefits from the long-term nature of its debt portfolio, as most debt matures after 2015, mitigating refinancing risk over the next 12-18 months.

Liquidity Profile

As of December 2013, KTT had KZT19 billion (\$123 million) in cash and cash equivalents and expected to generate solid operating cash flow over the following 12 months, which was sufficient to comfortably cover its short-term debt maturities of KZT7 billion (\$45 million) and planned capex of around KZT32 billion (\$208 million) over the following 12 months.

Rating Outlook

The positive rating outlook reflects the potential for an upgrade of KTT's rating, which would be likely in the event of an upgrade of the sovereign rating, provided that KTT is able to restore its financial metrics more comfortably within the guidance for the current BCA in the near term, and maintains solid liquidity on a sustainable basis.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

The rating is likely to be upgraded in case of an upgrade of the sovereign rating. Upward pressure on KTT's rating could also arise if conditions in the Kazakhstan freight transportation market remain supportive, coupled with KTT generating positive free cash flow on a sustainable basis and reducing its normalised leverage.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

We could downgrade KTT's rating if there were a sustained deterioration in KTT's ability to generate cash flow and in its leverage metrics, or if the company's liquidity position weakened. In addition, KTT's rating would be downgraded if we were to downgrade the rating of the Kazakhstan government, or revise downwards our assessment of the probability of the government providing extraordinary support to the company in the event of financial distress.

Rating Factors

Kaztemirtrans, JSC

Surface Transportation and Logistics Industry Grid [1][2]	Current FY 31/12/2013		[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of 27/05/2014	
Factor 1 : Business Profile (15%)	Measure	Score	Measure	Score
a) Business Profile	Ba	Ba	Ba	Ba
Factor 2 : Scale (20%)				
a) Revenue (\$ billion)	\$0.7	Caa	\$0.6 - \$0.7	Caa
Factor 3 : Profitability, Cash Flow, and Returns (20%)				
a) Operating Margin	22.5%	A	22% - 24%	A
b) FFO / Debt	6.6%	B	5% - 8%	B
c) EBITA / Avg. Assets	5.9%	Ba	6% - 7%	Ba
Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (30%)				
a) Debt / EBITDA	7.7x	Caa	7.0x - 7.5x	Caa
b) EBIT / Interest Expense	1.2x	B	1.0x - 1.3x	B
Factor 5 : Financial Policy (15%)				
a) Financial Policy	Ba	Ba	Ba	Ba
Rating:				
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		B2		B2
b) Actual BCA Assigned				b1

Government-Related Issuer	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	b1
b) Government Local Currency Rating	Baa2
c) Default Dependence	High
d) Support	Strong
e) Final Rating Outcome	Ba1

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. [2] As of 31/12/2013; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures.

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to

use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.